

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,500	08/22/2006	Jin-Woo Hong	AB-1423 US	8126
32605 7590 02/15/2011 Haynes and Boone, LLP			EXAMINER	
IP Section		KIM, HEE-YONG		
2323 Victory A SUITE 700	Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75	219		2482	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/15/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,500	HONG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HEE-YONG KIM	2482		

	HEE-YONG KIM	2482					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 03 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latter than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to</li> </ol>	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	2031169				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 18-22.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a				
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See continuation sheet.</u></li> </ol>		n condition for allowar	ice because:				
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	(A						
	/Andy S. Rao/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2482					

The IDS summitted February 3, 2011 is accepted.

The applicant argues (pp. 2-3) that if Fink teaches "priority of text over image modality", then Fink teaches changing image to text modality, not enhancement "without changing modality". Indeed, on pages 13-14 (starting the last paragraph on page 13), Fink teaches replacing image with textual description, and does not satisfy the claim limitation "provides for enhancement without the modality". The examiner respectfulyy disagrees. Fink has a visual modality including both image and text modalities and therefore Fink does not have to change a visual modality and text choose which modality is better for the specific situation. And Cairo teachmancing visual modality.